EMBARGO: 00.01 A.M TUESDAY 17 JUNE

FISCAL ASSESSMENT REPORT JUNE 2014

GOVERNMENT ADVISED TO DELIVER €2 BILLION ADJUSTMENT IN BUDGET 2015

Significant progress continues to be made in resolving Ireland's fiscal crisis. The borrowing capacity of the State has been restored, growth has resumed and Ireland successfully exited the EU-IMF assistance programme on schedule. But a number of challenges remain. These centre on risks surrounding growth prospects and the difficulties in delivering a sustained period of expenditure restraint in the face of demand pressures on public services.

Looking ahead to Budget 2015, the planned €2 billion adjustment should be implemented. There are three main reasons: (i) to reduce risks surrounding debt sustainability by putting the debt-to-GDP ratio on a firm downward path; (ii) to help to ensure that Ireland successfully exits the Excessive Deficit Procedure in 2015; and (iii) to protect hard-won gains in borrowing capacity.

The Chair of the Irish Fiscal Advisory Council, Professor John McHale, advised that:

"It is important that the Government follows through on its commitments to implement the €2 billion of additional measures in 2015."

Professor McHale also welcomed the strengthening of Ireland's fiscal framework:

"Ireland's fiscal framework has been greatly strengthened in recent years. This should help guide Government debt to safer levels, limit the damage done by boom-bust cycles and protect the borrowing capacity of the State".

The Government's recently published fiscal adjustment plans for 2016-18 appear to go beyond the minimum requirements of the new fiscal framework. The Council urges the Government to provide a detailed explanation of the choice of the new medium-term targets.

MEDIA BRIEFING

Members of the media are invited to attend a briefing on **Monday 16 June at 10.30 a.m.** at the Irish Fiscal Advisory Council, Whitaker Square (ESRI building), Sir John Rogerson's Quay, Dublin 2.

NOTES TO EDITORS:

- 1. Fiscal Assessment Report, June 2014 is under embargo until 00.01 a.m. Tuesday 17 June.
- 2. Fiscal Assessment Reports are submitted to the Minister for Finance and laid before the Oireachtas.
- 3. The Council is chaired by Professor John McHale, NUI, Galway. The other council members are Mr Sebastian Barnes, Professor Alan Barrett, Dr Donal Donovan and Dr Róisín O'Sullivan.



The following is the Summary Assessment from the *Fiscal Assessment Report, June* 2014. The Report is embargoed until 00.01 a.m on Tuesday 17 June and will be available to download from www.fiscalcouncil.ie

SUMMARY ASSESSMENT

Significant progress has been achieved in resolving Ireland's fiscal crisis, though challenges remain.

Ireland's debt-to-GDP ratio should now be on a declining path, underpinned by nominal GDP growth, record low interest rates and the move to a planned balanced budget between 2013 and 2018. The borrowing capacity of the State has been restored, with secondary-market bond yields falling to low levels. However, fragilities remain, with debt levels likely to remain extremely high and growth prospects uncertain.

The planned €2 billion adjustment for Budget 2015 should be implemented.

The Government should follow through on commitments to implement €2 billion of additional measures in *Budget 2015*. There are three main reasons for this recommendation: (i) to reduce risks to debt sustainability by putting the debt-to-GDP ratio on a firm downward path; (ii) to provide a reasonable probability that the requirement of a deficit of below 3 per cent of GDP is achieved in 2015 to facilitate an exit from the Excessive Deficit Procedure (EDP); and (iii) to protect the hard-won credibility of Ireland's capacity to follow through on adjustment commitments.

While the Council assesses the Government's fiscal stance to be prudent and compliant with the fiscal rules, the commitment to target a balanced budget in structural terms by 2018 has costs.

Two separate fiscal objectives frame the *2014 Stability Programme Update* (*SPU 2014*) projections. The first is the requirement to achieve a General Government deficit of less than 3 per cent of GDP in 2015 under the EDP. The second is to meet the Medium-Term Budgetary Objective (MTO) of a balanced budget in structural terms by 2018. This deadline is ambitious and exceeds minimum requirements under the rules. A clear rationale should be provided for this deadline.

While the Government's medium-term fiscal stance is assessed to be within the range of appropriate policies, it implies a stronger drag on demand and even greater pressures on spending than meeting the minimum requirements under the rules. Recognising the trade-off between supporting domestic demand and improving creditworthiness/debt sustainability, there is a case for a less ambitious medium-term fiscal stance that more closely follows these minimum requirements.



Ireland's fiscal framework has been strengthened and the Government has made a strong commitment to respect this new framework.

An important positive legacy of the economic crisis has been the strengthening of Ireland's fiscal framework and Government plans in the *SPU 2014* are consistent with this new framework. Post-2015, the Government is committed to meeting the requirements of the national Budgetary Rule and the requirements of the preventive arm of the *Stability and Growth Pact*. Adhering to the complementary national and European elements of the new fiscal framework should help to smooth future boom-bust cycles, guide Government debt to safer levels, and underpin borrowing capacity during the period when debt will remain unavoidably high.

Medium-term fiscal adjustment plans imply a sustained fall in non-interest Government spending as a share of GDP.

The *SPU 2014* projects Government non-interest spending to fall by approximately 8 percentage points of GDP by 2018, reaching a very low level historically (Figure A). These plans imply considerable pressures on government services, public investment and social payments (Figure B).

The planned spending squeeze raises questions about its viability.

The prolonged tight spending plans will be difficult to achieve given demand pressures and rigidities in certain areas of expenditure. The forthcoming *Comprehensive Review of Expenditure* needs to be used to identify appropriately detailed expenditure plans. This would help to promote informed public debate and enhance the credibility of budgetary projections over the medium term.

The Council has endorsed the macroeconomic forecasts underlying SPU 2014.


Under the *Fiscal Responsibility Act*, the Council is required to endorse as appropriate the macroeconomic forecasts underlying each Budget and stability programme. The *SPU 2014* forecasts are within an endorseable range, taking into account the methodology and the plausibility of the judgements involved, as well as the uncertainty surrounding any growth forecast. This is the first time the Council has endorsed medium-term projections.

The assumed shift to net-exports-driven growth in *SPU 2014* by 2017 may be difficult to achieve given the subdued productivity growth forecast. The forecast medium-term real GDP growth rate of 3½ per cent also appears to be at the relatively optimistic end of the range.

Budget projections are assessed to be appropriate.


While considered appropriate, the budgetary projections in *SPU 2014* are contingent on the delivery of significant expenditure savings and achieving the projected acceleration in economic growth.

Expenditure control problems in Health appear to be unresolved. Additional risks stem from interest rates, inflation and contingent liabilities.



Source: Internal calculations based on CSO and Department of Finance data.

Note: Underlying primary expenditure excludes exceptional payments to the banking sector.



3001CC. C30, 31 0 2014.

This Assessment Report includes an "Analytical Notes" series for the first time.

The "Analytical Notes" series provide more detail on specific areas related to the Assessment Report.

There are five such notes in this report and the topics covered are: House Price Risks; A Sensitivity

Analysis of the Department of Finance Approach to Potential Output Estimation under the European

Commission (EC) Methodology; Tax Forecasting Error Decomposition; DIRT Forecast Methodology and

Future Implications of the Debt Rule.